



## Legal Analytics® for Outside Counsel Selection

Companies selecting outside counsel need to understand which other organizations a law firm has represented, how busy specific lawyers at the firm are, and how well they have performed in previous litigation.

Lex Machina helps you to quickly and effectively analyze the client list, case load, and litigation performance of any law firm or lawyer. Our Quick Tools are the only analytic applications available that allow you to compare law firms side-by-side to assess their performance and make data driven decisions.

## Find the Right Counsel

Identify potential counsel based on the criteria of your choice, including practice areas and courts, numbers of cases litigated, case resolutions, top findings and remedies, and total damage awards. Use our Outside Counsel Selector to make instant side-by-side comparisons. Understand an attorney's relative level of experience in litigating a certain type of case in specific venues. Use data and facts to support your choice of counsel to the board.

**Outside Counsel Selector**  
Showing attorneys practicing in federal district court, U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, Contracts cases. [Change](#).

Order by: Most cases in searched set

Complete Case History		Cases Meeting Search Criteria				
Top Federal District Courts	Top Federal Practice Areas	Cases in Searched Set	Case Resolutions	Top Findings	Damages Awarded	
<b>Thomas P. Riley (Law Offices of Thomas P. Riley) from Law Offices of Thomas P. Riley</b>						
C.D.Cal.	2014	Contracts 763	Total 88	Resolution on the Merits 1%	Contracts: Conversion 27	To client \$65,860
N.D.Cal.	482	Copyright 10	Representing Plaintiffs 88	Other Resolution 99%	Contracts: No Conversion 1	Against client --
D.Ariz.	459	Consumer Protection 4	Representing Defendants 0			
			Representing Third Parties 0			
			Trials 0			
<b>Todd M. Friedman from Elias</b>						
C.D.Cal.	2487	Consumer Protection 3842	Total 44	Resolution on the Merits 5%	Contracts: No Contract Breach 3	To client --
N.D.Cal.	611	Class Action 2281	Representing Plaintiffs 43	Other Resolution 95%	Contracts: No Fraud / Misrepresentation 2	Against client --
E.D.Cal.	507	Employment 178	Representing Defendants 1		Contracts: No Unjust Enrichment 2	
			Representing Third Parties 0			
			Trials 1			

## Assess Counsel Performance


Review and compare the track record of law firms and individual lawyers. See a firm's client list as well as the outcomes achieved. Highlight potential areas of conflict. Analyze a firm's experience with specific districts, judges, and subject matters to find the best possible counsel for your needs.

## Manage Outside Counsel

Validate strategic choices of outside counsel by looking at the data yourself. Analyze their recommendations, their motions, and their venue selection strategy. Gain insights to determine the optimal time to settle.

## Anticipate Litigation Spend

Make budgeting decisions based on the life of a case. Create realistic litigation budgets by viewing time to key milestones for specific firms in specific courts and comparing them to other jurisdictions.



*We expect all of our outside litigation counsel to use Lex Machina if they have more than 50 attorneys."*

- Huawei

**Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher**

Summary | [District Court Cases](#) | [PTAB Trials](#) | [Client List](#) | [District Court Judge Appearances](#) | [PTAB Judge Appearances](#) | [Delaware Court of Chancery Cases](#)

Top Active Clients by number of open cases				Top Courts		Cases by Role and Type				
Party	Law Firm's Cases	Client Total	%	Court	Cases	Plaintiff		Defendant		
						Case Type	Open	Total	Open	Total
Mitsuba Corporation	135	172	78%	Southern District of New York ( S.D.N.Y. )	509	Product Liability	0	2	22	107
American Mitsuba Corporation	131	163	80%	Central District of California ( C.D.Cal. )	328	Employment	0	9	25	132
UBS Group AG	47	74	64%	Northern District of California ( N.D.Cal. )	243	Trademark	4	112	10	97
				Eastern District of Texas ( E.D.Tex. )	184	Copyright	1	34	8	84
				Eastern District of Michigan ( E.D.Mich. )	158	Patent	10	176	35	423
				District of Delaware ( D.Del. )	131					