



Legal Analytics® for King County Superior Court


Lex Machina's award-winning Legal Analytics® platform allows outside counsel and in-house attorneys to anticipate the behavior of courts, judges, lawyers, law firms, and parties. Over three quarters of Am Law 100 firms and some of the largest global corporations use Legal Analytics to supplement traditional legal research with previously unavailable strategic insights that gives them a winning edge.

The vast majority of cases in the United States are litigated in state courts, and King County Superior Court is an important venue for state court litigation. This court serves the Seattle metropolitan area. King County has a population of over 2.2 million citizens and is an important venue for civil cases involving contracts, torts, and other civil matters. Now, Legal Analytics is available for King County Superior Court.

The Winning Edge

Lex Machina gathers raw information from state court cases and the underlying documents, and then it uses a unique Natural Language Processing engine to clean, tag, enhance, and present the resulting data in a way that allows users to make data-driven decisions. Lex Machina is the only analytics provider that has both the documents and the technology to ensure that the resulting data is as accurate and complete as possible. Lex Machina also adds high-value trial outcomes data to reveal who won cases and what the damage awards were.

Practitioners can now investigate a party's litigation track record, assess a law firm's experience in similar cases, understand the range of damages awarded at trial, or search docket entry text.



The Legal Analytics platform provides data-driven insights and trends in state court. Advantages of state court analytics include:

- Understanding timing to key litigation milestones
- Analyzing the record of a specific judge, law firm, attorney, or party
- Reviewing previous trial resolutions, trial damages, and trial rulings for case strategy
- Refining with court-specific filters such as case types and case tags
- Keyword searching within docket entry text and downloaded documents
- Viewing analytics across all state courts or in one particular court

Lex Machina helps you answer questions such as:

- What is the median time to trial and time to termination for cases with Judge Shaffer presiding?
- Has an opposing attorney ever appeared in a case before Judge Parisien? If so, how many were Torts (Vehicle) cases, and did any go to trial?
- How many Contracts cases had damages awarded by a jury verdict? Which cases are those and what were the damages in each case?
- Who are the top law firms representing defendants that have been involved in Property Rights cases over the past five years?

Unique Litigation Data

Lex Machina allows users to filter by the following information in order to find the most relevant cases:

Case Types – Appeal / Judicial Review, Asbestos, Contract / Commercial, Medical Malpractice, Property Rights, Torts (Non-Vehicle), Torts (Vehicle), Other Complaint / Petition

Case Tags – Collections

Document Tags – Jury Verdict, Complaint, Answer, Judgment

Trial Damages – General Damages (Judgment on the Merits), General Damages (Jury Verdict), Attorneys' Fees / Costs

Analytics: Summary Timing Law Firms Parties Trial Resolutions Trial Damages Trial Rulings		
Damage Type	Cases	Amount
General Damages (Judgment on Merits)	47	\$16,057,618
General Damages (Jury Verdict)	14	\$15,676,808
Attorneys' Fees / Costs	54	\$9,744,052
Grand Total: \$41,478,478		

Trial Rulings – Bench Trial, Jury Verdict, Default Judgment, Other Judgment

Trial Resolutions – Plaintiff Win, Defendant Win, Likely Settlement