



## Legal Analytics® for Los Angeles County Superior Court


Lex Machina's award-winning Legal Analytics platform allows outside counsel and in-house attorneys to anticipate the behavior of courts, judges, lawyers, law firms, and parties. Over three quarters of AmLaw100 firms and some of the largest global corporations use Legal Analytics to supplement traditional legal research with previously unavailable strategic insights that gives them a winning edge.

The majority of cases litigated in the U.S. are at the state-court level. Los Angeles County Superior Court is an important state court with the largest caseload in the country. Now, Legal Analytics is available for the Los Angeles County Superior Court.

## The Winning Edge

Lex Machina gathers raw information from state court cases and the underlying documents, and then uses a unique Natural Language Processing engine to clean, tag, enhance, and present the resulting data in a way that allows users to make data-driven decisions. Lex Machina is the only analytics provider that has both the documents and the technology to ensure that the resulting data is as accurate and complete as possible. Lex Machina also adds high-value trial outcomes data to reveal who won cases and what the damage awards were.

Practitioners can now investigate a party's litigation track record, assess a law firm's experience in similar cases, understand the range of damages awarded at trial, or search docket entry text.



The Legal Analytics platform provides data-driven insights and trends in state court. Features available include:

- Searching by judge, law firm, attorney name, or party
- Timing analytics, trial resolutions, trial damages, and trial rulings
- Keyword searching within docket entry text and documents
- Filtering by court or viewing analytics throughout all state court modules
- Court-specific filters including case type

Lex Machina helps you answer questions such as:

- What is the median time to trial and time to termination in cases with Judge Duffy-Lewis presiding?
- How much experience does the opposing law firm have in this venue? Were any cases taken to trial, and if so, what was the resolution?
- Has an opposing attorney ever appeared in a case before Judge Berle? If so, were any of the cases complex as defined by CRC 3.4?
- How many Torts (Vehicle) cases have been awarded trial damages by a jury verdict? Which cases are those and what were the damages amounts?

## Unique Litigation Data

Lex Machina allows users to filter by the following information in order to find the most relevant cases:

**Case Types** – Contracts, Employment, Real Property, Torts (Vehicle), Torts (Non-Vehicle), Other Civil

**Case Tags** – Collections, CRC 3.4 Complex, General Jurisdiction, Limited Jurisdiction

**Trial Damages** – General Damages (Judgment on the Merits), General Damages (Jury Verdict), Attorneys' Fees / Costs

**Trial Rulings** – Bench Trial, Jury Verdict, Default Judgment, Consent Judgment, Other Judgment

**Trial Resolutions** – Plaintiff Win, Defendant Win, Likely Settlement, Procedural, Indeterminable

