Legal Analytics[®] for Police Action Litigation

Lex Machina's award-winning Legal Analytics® platform allows outside counsel and in-house attorneys to research and anticipate the behavior of courts, judges, lawyers, law firms, and parties.

Over three quarters of Am Law 100 firms and some of the largest global corporations use Legal Analytics to supplement traditional legal research with previously unavailable strategic insights that gives them a winning edge.

Now, Legal Analytics is available for Police Action Litigation in federal courts.



The Winning Edge

Lex Machina's Legal Analytics for Police Action provides valuable data-driven insights and trends in police action case timing, resolutions, damages, remedies, and findings.

Our case set includes cases alleging a violation of the plaintiff's civil rights by one of the following:

- a law enforcement officer acting under color of law
- a political subdivision (e.g., the city, township, or county) employing that officer
- a supervisory official responsible for the law enforcement officer who violated plaintiff's rights




Lex Machina helps you answer questions such as:

- Which cases had the highest amounts of punitive damages awarded in the last year? What were the specific amounts and what happened in those cases?
- Which law firms have the most experience representing defendants in police action cases in federal court?
- Has my judge ever ruled on Monell liability? If so, how did they rule and at what stage of litigation?
- How many police action cases resolved at trial and how often did claimants win?
- What is the median time to termination for police action cases in the Southern District of New York?

Analytics: Summary Timing Law Firms Parties Case Resolutions Damages Remedies Motions Findings

	DAMAGE TYPE	CASE	S AMOUN	T II
,	Civil Rights Damages	733	\$941,774,396	
Þ	Employment Damages	1	\$100,000	
•	Insurance Damages	1	\$100,000	0
×	Consumer Protection Damages	1	\$35,000	
Þ.	Contracts Damages	1	\$925,000	
•	Product Liability Damages	1	\$153,150	
•	Torts Damages	34	\$26,644,926	
	General Damages	2,425	\$115,208,666	



Unique Litigation Data

Damages

General Compensatory Damages, Punitive Damages, Nominal Damages

Findings

Violation Findings: Due Process Violation, Equal Protection Violation, First Amendment Speech or Assembly Violation, Excessive Force Violation, Failure to Intervene Violation, Police Malicious Prosecution Violation, Search and Seizure Violation, Monell Liability, State Law Tort Liability.

Defense Findings: Quality Immunity Defense, State Law Immunity Defense, Time-Barred Defense.

Remedies

Granted and Denied Permanent Injunction, Preliminary Injunction, and Temporary Restraining Order

nalytics: Su	mmary	Timing	Law Firms	Parties	Case Resolutions	Damages	Remedies	Motion	s Findings •		View Cas	e List C
ase Filings (Top 6 by	Focus Ord	ser)						Courts			
									E.D.N.Y.	6,633	11%	
	4,000		-	-		+	•	0	S.D.N.Y.	4,927	8%	-
	3,000								N.D.III.	4,802	8%	
Filings									C.D.Cal.	3,668	6%	
Œ	2,000								E.D.Pa.	2,235	4%	
	1,000								Other Courts	39,944	64%	
	0		-	_		_		0				
		<2016	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 20	021"	District Judges			
Civil Rights		40,187	4,191	3,927	3,813	3,944 4	1,023 2,	124	Frederic Block	478	1%	
Employme	nt	152	18	23	17	20	21	24	Roslynn Renee Mau	422	1%	
Securities		3	1	1	0	0	1	1	Allyne R. Ross	389	1%	
Insurance		20	8	6	8	15	7	7	Kiyo A. Matsumoto	385	1%	
Antitrust		22	4	1	5	3	1	5	Jack Bertrand Weins	374	1%	
Bankruptcy		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1,325 Other Judges			

