



Legal Analytics® for Torts Litigation

Lex Machina's award-winning Legal Analytics platform allows outside counsel and in-house attorneys to predict the behavior of courts, judges, lawyers, law firms, and parties. Over three quarters of AmLaw100 firms and some of the largest global corporations use Legal Analytics to supplement traditional legal research and reasoning with previously unavailable strategic insights that give them a winning edge.

Now, Legal Analytics is available for torts litigation in federal district court. With nearly 200,000 cases, the Torts practice area includes cases in which a party seeks compensation for loss or harm caused by personal injury or reputational harm to an individual. This harm may be caused by intentional or unintentional torts.

The Winning Edge

Legal Analytics provides data-driven insights and trends in torts litigation, such as case timing, resolutions, damages, remedies, and findings. With the software, practitioners gain practical strategic information such as exploring a judge's previous findings, assessing a law firm's experience, or understanding the range of damages awarded in similar cases.

Torts cases include claims for medical malpractice, premises liability, motor vehicle injury, and other personal injury claims including negligence, assault and battery, defamation, invasion of privacy, infliction of emotional distress, loss of consortium, and wrongful death and survival actions. This practice area includes a large number of state-law cases that have been removed to federal court as well as cases brought under the Federal Tort Claims Act.



Lex Machina helps you answer questions such as:

- Have punitive damages been awarded in a medical malpractice suit in this court? If so, how much was awarded?
- Which law firms have the most experience representing plaintiffs in cases filed under the Federal Tort Claims Act?
- What are the top defendant parties in premises liability cases? Did those defendants take a case to trial?
- Has my judge ever found *No Causation* in a negligence case at summary judgment?
- Who are the top ten expert witnesses in torts cases in the Central District of Illinois? In which cases were they admitted or excluded?

Unique Litigation Data

Case Tags – Federal Tort Claims Act, Medical Malpractice, Motor Vehicle, Premises Liability, Mass Tort: World Trade Center, Mass Tort: Deepwater Horizon, Mass Tort: Doe Run Resources

Damages – Pain and Suffering, Medical Expenses, Lost Wages, Loss of Consortium, Survivor Expenses, Loss of Support, General Compensatory Damages, Punitive Damages

Torts Findings by Judgment Event

| Findings | Default Judgment | Judgment on the Pleadings | Summary Judgment | Judgment as a Matter of Law | Any Judgment Event |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| Negligence | 254 | 103 | 4 | 214 | 1,444 |
| No Negligence: Duty | 0 | 1 | 233 | 1,019 | 22 |
| No Negligence: Breach | 2 | 4 | 164 | 2,455 | 449 |
| No Negligence: Causation | 0 | 3 | 51 | 798 | 287 |
| No Negligence: Harm | 0 | 2 | 16 | 56 | 24 |
| No Negligence: Expert Required | 0 | 0 | 108 | 287 | 2 |
| No Negligence: Unspecified | 1 | 23 | 338 | 1,155 | 927 |
| No Vicarious Liability | 0 | 8 | 106 | 355 | 11 |

Findings – Negligence-Related Findings: Negligence, No Negligence: Duty, No Negligence: Breach, No Negligence: Causation, No Negligence: Harm, No Negligence: Expert Required, No Negligence: Unspecified, No Vicarious Liability

Violation Findings: Lack of Informed Consent, Loss of Consortium, Strict Liability, Wanton/Reckless Conduct, Wrongful Death/Survival Action

Intentional Tort Findings: Assault/Battery, Infliction of Emotional Distress, Defamation, False Imprisonment, Privacy/False Light Violation, Willfulness

Defense Findings: Assumption of Risk Defense, Contributory/Comparative Negligence Defense, Open and Obvious Danger Defense, Time-Barred Defense, Waiver Defense

Remedies – Granted and Denied Permanent Injunction, Preliminary Injunction, and Temporary Restraining Order